



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR  
Docket No: 1832-99  
31 August 1999

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 24 August 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 13 January 1975 at the age of 18. Your record reflects that during the period from 29 July to 9 October 1975 you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on four occasions for three incidents of absence from your appointed place of duty, three incidents of failure to go to your appointed place of duty, and disobedience.

On 16 October 1975 you were notified of pending separation action by reason of unsuitability. At this time you waived your rights to consult with legal counsel and to submit a statement in rebuttal to the separation. Subsequently, your commanding officer recommended you be issued a general discharge by reason of unsuitability. The discharge authority approved the foregoing recommendation and directed your commanding officer to issue you a general discharge and on 10 December 1975 you were so discharged.

Character of service is based, in part, on conduct and overall trait averages which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. Your conduct average was 3.2. An average mark of 4.0 in conduct was required at the time of your separation for a fully honorable characterization of service.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity, and your contention that you would like your discharge upgraded. However, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your frequent misconduct which resulted in four NJPs and since your conduct average was insufficiently high to warrant an honorable discharge. Given all the circumstances of your case, the Board concluded your discharge was proper and no change is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director